A Higher-Order Temporal H-Index for Evolving Networks

Lutz Oettershagen¹ Nils M. Kriege² Petra Mutzel³

October 24, 2024

1) University of Liverpool 2) University of Vienna 3) University of Bonn







• The H-index was proposed for measuring the productivity and impact of scientists: (J. E. Hirsch, 2005)

The maximum value of h such that the author has published at least h papers that have each been cited at least h times

• Has been used as centrality measure for ranking nodes according to spreading ability

• The H-index was proposed for measuring the productivity and impact of scientists: (J. E. Hirsch, 2005)

The maximum value of h such that the author has published at least h papers that have each been cited at least h times

• Has been used as centrality measure for ranking nodes according to spreading ability

The H-index was proposed for measuring the productivity and impact of scientists:
 (J. E. Hirsch, 2005)
 The maximum value of h such that the author has published at least h papers that have

each been cited at least h times

• Has been used as centrality measure for ranking nodes according to spreading ability

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ be the set of finite multisets of integers.

Function *H*: *M* → N₀ returns for a finite multiset of integers *S* ⊆ {{*j* | *j* ∈ N₀}} the maximum integer *i* such that there are at least *i* elements *j* in *S* with *j* ≥ *i*.

n-th order H-index

n-th order H-index $s_u^{(n)}$ of a node $u \in V$ in a static graph G = (V, E): Let $s_u^{(0)} = \delta(u)$ the degree of node u, then $s_u^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\{\{s_u^{(n-1)} \mid v \in V \text{ and } v \text{ is neighbor of } u\}\}\right)$

 $s_u^{(1)}$ corresponds to the H-index of u

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ be the set of finite multisets of integers.

Function H: M → N₀ returns for a finite multiset of integers S ⊆ {{j | j ∈ N₀}} the maximum integer i such that there are at least i elements j in S with j ≥ i.

n-th order H-index

n-th order H-index $s_u^{(n)}$ of a node $u \in V$ in a static graph G = (V, E): Let $s_u^{(0)} = \delta(u)$ the degree of node u, then

$$s_u^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\{\!\!\{s_v^{(n-1)} \mid v \in V \text{ and } v ext{ is neighbor of } u\}\!\!\}
ight)$$

 $s_u^{(1)}$ corresponds to the H-index of u

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ be the set of finite multisets of integers.

Function H: M → N₀ returns for a finite multiset of integers S ⊆ {{j | j ∈ N₀}} the maximum integer i such that there are at least i elements j in S with j ≥ i.

n-th order H-index

n-th order H-index $s_u^{(n)}$ of a node $u \in V$ in a static graph G = (V, E): Let $s_u^{(0)} = \delta(u)$ the degree of node u, then

$$s_u^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\{\!\!\{s_v^{(n-1)} \mid v \in V ext{ and } v ext{ is neighbor of } u\}\!\!\}
ight)$$

 $s_u^{(1)}$ corresponds to the H-index of u

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{M}}$ be the set of finite multisets of integers.

Function *H*: *M* → N₀ returns for a finite multiset of integers *S* ⊆ {{*j* | *j* ∈ N₀}} the maximum integer *i* such that there are at least *i* elements *j* in *S* with *j* ≥ *i*.

n-th order H-index

n-th order H-index $s_u^{(n)}$ of a node $u \in V$ in a static graph G = (V, E): Let $s_u^{(0)} = \delta(u)$ the degree of node u, then

$$s_u^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\{\!\!\{s_v^{(n-1)} \mid v \in V \text{ and } v ext{ is neighbor of } u\}\!\!\}
ight)$$

 $s_u^{(1)}$ corresponds to the H-index of u

- Prominent examples: Social and online communication networks
- Highly dynamic networks
- Information spreads over time
- H-index should take the dynamics into account

- Ranking nodes: Which nodes are important or central? Who can influence others well?
- Core-like decomposition: Find strongly connected subgraphs or communities?



- Prominent examples: Social and online communication networks
- Highly dynamic networks
- Information spreads over time
- H-index should take the dynamics into account

- Ranking nodes: Which nodes are important or central? Who can influence others well?
- Core-like decomposition: Find strongly connected subgraphs or communities?



- Prominent examples: Social and online communication networks
- Highly dynamic networks
- Information spreads over time
- H-index should take the dynamics into account

- Ranking nodes: Which nodes are important or central? Who can influence others well?
- Core-like decomposition: Find strongly connected subgraphs or communities?



- Prominent examples: Social and online communication networks
- Highly dynamic networks
- Information spreads over time
- H-index should take the dynamics into account

- Ranking nodes: Which nodes are important or central? Who can influence others well?
- Core-like decomposition: Find strongly connected subgraphs or communities?



- Prominent examples: Social and online communication networks
- Highly dynamic networks
- Information spreads over time
- H-index should take the dynamics into account

- Ranking nodes: Which nodes are important or central? Who can influence others well?
- Core-like decomposition: Find strongly connected subgraphs or communities?



- Prominent examples: Social and online communication networks
- Highly dynamic networks
- Information spreads over time
- H-index should take the dynamics into account

- Ranking nodes: Which nodes are important or central? Who can influence others well?
- Core-like decomposition: Find strongly connected subgraphs or communities?



- Prominent examples: Social and online communication networks
- Highly dynamic networks
- Information spreads over time
- H-index should take the dynamics into account

- Ranking nodes: Which nodes are important or central? Who can influence others well?
- Core-like decomposition: Find strongly connected subgraphs or communities?



- A temporal network is represented as $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathcal{E})$
 - with (static) set of nodes V, and
 - set of temporal edges $\mathcal{E} = \{(u, v, t, \lambda)\}$, with $u, v \in V$ and $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$
 - transition time λ equals time required to traverse the edge



- A temporal network is represented as $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathcal{E})$
 - with (static) set of nodes V, and
 - set of temporal edges $\mathcal{E} = \{(u, v, t, \lambda)\}$, with $u, v \in V$ and $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$
 - transition time λ equals time required to traverse the edge



- A temporal network is represented as $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathcal{E})$
 - with (static) set of nodes V, and
 - set of temporal edges $\mathcal{E} = \{(u, v, t, \lambda)\}$, with $u, v \in V$ and $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$
 - transition time λ equals time required to traverse the edge



- A temporal network is represented as $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathcal{E})$
 - with (static) set of nodes V, and
 - set of temporal edges $\mathcal{E} = \{(u, v, t, \lambda)\}$, with $u, v \in V$ and $t, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$
 - transition time λ equals time required to traverse the edge



- Goal is to rank according to influence and ability to spread information
- Information spreads along temporal walks
 - sequence of temporal edges, such that
 - consecutive edges share a common node, and
 - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing

- Goal is to rank according to influence and ability to spread information
- Information spreads along temporal walks
 - sequence of temporal edges, such that
 - consecutive edges share a common node, and
 - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing

- Goal is to rank according to influence and ability to spread information
- Information spreads along temporal walks
 - sequence of temporal edges, such that
 - consecutive edges share a common node, and
 - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing

- Goal is to rank according to influence and ability to spread information
- Information spreads along temporal walks
 - sequence of temporal edges, such that
 - consecutive edges share a common node, and
 - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing

- Goal is to rank according to influence and ability to spread information
- Information spreads along temporal walks
 - sequence of temporal edges, such that
 - consecutive edges share a common node, and
 - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing

$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & b & 3 & c & 2 & d \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & b & 2 & c & 3 & d \end{pmatrix}$

- Goal is to rank according to influence and ability to spread information
- Information spreads along temporal walks
 - sequence of temporal edges, such that
 - consecutive edges share a common node, and
 - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing

- Goal is to rank according to influence and ability to spread information
- Information spreads along temporal walks
 - sequence of temporal edges, such that
 - consecutive edges share a common node, and
 - time stamps of temporal edges are increasing



Temporal Neighborhood

The multiset $\mathcal{N}(v, t)$ contains all pairs of nodes and times (w, t_w) such that there is a temporal edge from v to w leaving at time $t' \ge t$ and arriving at time t_w .



n-th Order Temporal H-Index

The *n*-th order temporal H-index of a node $v \in V$ is defined as $h_{v}^{(n)} = h_{v,0}^{(n)}$ with

$$h_{v,t}^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\left\{\!\!\left\{ \left. h_{w,t_w}^{(n-1)} \; \middle| \; (w,t_w) \in \mathcal{N}(v,t)
ight\}\!\!\right\}\!\!\right\}\!\right).$$

We define $h_{v,t}^{(0)} = |\mathcal{N}(v,t)|$.

- Captures node importance in terms of temporal reachability
- Nodes with high reachability are ranked high

n-th Order Temporal H-Index

The *n*-th order temporal H-index of a node $v \in V$ is defined as $h_{v}^{(n)} = h_{v,0}^{(n)}$ with

$$h_{v,t}^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\left\{\!\!\left\{ \left. h_{w,t_w}^{(n-1)} \; \middle| \; (w,t_w) \in \mathcal{N}(v,t)
ight\}\!\!\right\}\!\!\right\}\!\right).$$

We define $h_{v,t}^{(0)} = |\mathcal{N}(v,t)|$.

- Captures node importance in terms of temporal reachability
- Nodes with high reachability are ranked high

n-th Order Temporal H-Index

The *n*-th order temporal H-index of a node $v \in V$ is defined as $h_{v}^{(n)} = h_{v,0}^{(n)}$ with

$$h_{v,t}^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}\left(\left\{\!\!\left\{ \left. h_{w,t_w}^{(n-1)} \right| (w,t_w) \in \mathcal{N}(v,t)
ight\}\!\!\right\}\!\right).$$

We define $h_{v,t}^{(0)} = |\mathcal{N}(v,t)|$.

- Captures node importance in terms of temporal reachability
- Nodes with high reachability are ranked high



(a) \mathcal{G} with $\lambda = 1$ for all edges.

(b) The reachability tree $\Gamma(f)$ for vertex f in \mathcal{G} .

$$h_{f,0}^{(1)} =$$





(a) \mathcal{G} with $\lambda = 1$ for all edges.



$$h_{f,0}^{(1)} = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(0)}, h_{e,2}^{(0)}, h_{h,2}^{(0)}, h_{g,2}^{(0)}\}\!\!\})$$

3

5



(a) \mathcal{G} with $\lambda = 1$ for all edges.



$$h_{f,0}^{(1)} = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\{h_{d,2}^{(0)}, h_{e,2}^{(0)}, h_{h,2}^{(0)}, h_{g,2}^{(0)}\}\!\}) = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\{3, 2, 4, 3\}\!\}) = 3$$





(a) \mathcal{G} with $\lambda = 1$ for all edges.



$$\begin{split} h_{f,0}^{(2)} &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(1)}, h_{e,2}^{(1)}, h_{b,2}^{(1)}, h_{g,2}^{(1)}\}\!\!) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{\mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{g,5}^{(0)}, h_{e,3}^{(0)}, h_{a,6}^{(0)}\}\!\!), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,3}^{(0)}, h_{b,4}^{(0)}\}\!\!), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{e,4}^{(0)}, h_{i,6}^{(0)}, h_{j,6}^{(0)}, h_{g,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{c,5}^{(0)}, h_{d,5}^{(0)}, h_{b,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!)\}\!\!) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{\mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{0,1,1\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{2,3\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{0,1,1,0\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{1,1,2\}\!\!\})\}\!\!) = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{1,2,1,1\}\!\!\}) = 1 \end{split}$$





(a) \mathcal{G} with $\lambda = 1$ for all edges.



$$\begin{split} h_{f,0}^{(2)} &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(1)}, h_{e,2}^{(1)}, h_{b,2}^{(1)}, h_{g,2}^{(1)}\}\!\!) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{H_{d,0}^{(0)}, h_{e,3}^{(0)}, h_{a,6}^{(0)}\}\!\!), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,3}^{(0)}, h_{b,4}^{(0)}\}\!\!), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{e,4}^{(0)}, h_{j,6}^{(0)}, h_{g,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{c,5}^{(0)}, h_{d,5}^{(0)}, h_{b,5}^{(0)}\}\!\!)\}\!\!) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{H_{d,0}^{(0)}, 1, 1\}\!\!), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{2,3\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{0,1,1,0\}\!\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{1,1,2\}\!\!\})\}\!\!) = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{1,2,1,1\}\!\!\}) = 1 \end{split}$$

6

6

 $h_{f,0}^{(2)} = \mathcal{H}(\{\!\!\{h_{d,2}^{(1)}, h_{e,2}^{(1)}, h_{b,2}^{(1)}, h_{g,2}^{(1)}\}\!\!\})$ $=\mathcal{H}(\{\!\{\mathcal{H}(\{\!\{h_{g,5}^{(0)}, h_{e,3}^{(0)}, h_{g,6}^{(0)}\}\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\{h_{d,3}^{(0)}, h_{b,4}^{(0)}\}\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\{h_{e,4}^{(0)}, h_{i,6}^{(0)}, h_{i,6}^{(0)}, h_{g,5}^{(0)}\}\!\}), \mathcal{H}(\{\!\{h_{c,5}^{(0)}, h_{d,5}^{(0)}, h_{b,5}^{(0)}\}\!\})\})$

(a) \mathcal{G} with $\lambda = 1$ for all edges.

(b) The reachability tree $\Gamma(f)$ for vertex f in \mathcal{G} .



3

6

6



d.3

(h,4)

(e,4)(i,6)

a.6

h.2

j,6(g,5) Depth:

-(d,5)

(h.5)

(c,5)

6

6



(i,6)

d.3

(h,4)

(j,6) (g,5)

(e,4)(i,6)

a.6

g,5

h.2

j,6(g,5) Depth:

i 63

-(d,5)

(h.5)

(c,5)

Properties



For $h_v^{(n)} = k > 1$, there are at least $\frac{k^{(n+2)}-k}{k-1}$ descendants u of the root r in $\Gamma(v)$ with $d(u) \le n$.

Properties



It holds that $h_v^{(n)} = 0$ for all $n > \Delta(\mathcal{G})$ with $\Delta(\mathcal{G})$ being the max. temporal walk length.

So far:

- Adapted n-th order H-index for temporal networks
- Rank nodes according to spreading capabilities

- For increasing n, the static n-th order H-index converges to the core number of u
 - k-core is a max. subgraph G_k of G, s.t. every node in G_k has
 - at least k neighbors in G_k
 - Node u has core number c(u) = k if u belongs to a k-core but not the k + 1-core
- We define decomposition for the temporal variant

So far:

- Adapted n-th order H-index for temporal networks
- Rank nodes according to spreading capabilities



What's next?

• For increasing n, the static n-th order H-index converges to the core number of u

k-core is a max. subgraph G_k of G, s.t. every node in G_k has

- at least k neighbors in G_k
- Node u has core number c(u) = k if u belongs to a k-core but not the k + 1-core
- We define decomposition for the temporal variant

So far:

- Adapted n-th order H-index for temporal networks
- Rank nodes according to spreading capabilities



- For increasing n, the static n-th order H-index converges to the core number of u
 - *k*-core is a max. subgraph G_k of G, s.t. every node in G_k has at least k neighbors in G_k
 - Node u has core number c(u) = k if u belongs to a k-core but not the k + 1-core
- We define decomposition for the temporal variant

So far:

- Adapted n-th order H-index for temporal networks
- Rank nodes according to spreading capabilities



- For increasing n, the static n-th order H-index converges to the core number of u
 - k-core is a max. subgraph G_k of G, s.t. every node in G_k has
 - at least k neighbors in G_k
 - Node u has core number c(u) = k if u belongs to a k-core but not the k + 1-core
- We define decomposition for the temporal variant

So far:

- Adapted n-th order H-index for temporal networks
- Rank nodes according to spreading capabilities



- For increasing n, the static n-th order H-index converges to the core number of u
 - k-core is a max. subgraph G_k of G, s.t. every node in G_k has
 - at least k neighbors in G_k
 - Node u has core number c(u) = k if u belongs to a k-core but not the k + 1-core
- We define decomposition for the temporal variant

Temporal (n, k)-Pseudocore

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The temporal (n, k)-pseudocore of \mathcal{G} is a maximal induced temporal subgraph $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$ of \mathcal{G} such that for all $v \in V(\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)})$ the *n*-th order temporal H-index $h_v^{(n)} \ge k$ in \mathcal{G} .

- (n, k)-pseudocore: Temporal subgraph containing nodes with similar temporal activity and importance in the network G
- For a node v in a (n, k)-pseudocore $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$, the inequality $h_v^{(n)} \ge k$ does not hold necessarily with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$



Temporal (n, k)-Pseudocore

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The temporal (n, k)-pseudocore of \mathcal{G} is a maximal induced temporal subgraph $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$ of \mathcal{G} such that for all $v \in V(\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)})$ the *n*-th order temporal H-index $h_v^{(n)} \ge k$ in \mathcal{G} .

- (n, k)-pseudocore: Temporal subgraph containing nodes with similar temporal activity and importance in the network G
- For a node v in a (n, k)-pseudocore $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$, the inequality $h_v^{(n)} \ge k$ does not hold necessarily with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$



Temporal (n, k)-Pseudocore

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The temporal (n, k)-pseudocore of \mathcal{G} is a maximal induced temporal subgraph $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$ of \mathcal{G} such that for all $v \in V(\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)})$ the *n*-th order temporal H-index $h_v^{(n)} \ge k$ in \mathcal{G} .

- (n, k)-pseudocore: Temporal subgraph containing nodes with similar temporal activity and importance in the network G
- For a node v in a (n, k)-pseudocore $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$, the inequality $h_{v}^{(n)} \geq k$ does not hold necessarily with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)}$



Computation

Two algorithms

- Naive recursive algorithm
- Streaming algorithm
 - Single pass over edges in reverse chronological order
 - Computes for each node i-th order H-indices for $0 \le i \le n$

Algorithm	Running Time	Edge Trans. Times	Results for $\forall i \in [n]$
			×
			\checkmark

Computation

Two algorithms

- Naive recursive algorithm
- Streaming algorithm
 - Single pass over edges in reverse chronological order
 - Computes for each node i-th order H-indices for $0 \le i \le n$

Algorithm	Running Time	Edge Trans. Times	Results for $\forall i \in [n]$
			×
			\checkmark

Computation

Two algorithms

- Naive recursive algorithm
- Streaming algorithm
 - Single pass over edges in reverse chronological order
 - Computes for each node i-th order H-indices for $0 \le i \le n$

Algorithm	Running Time	Space	Edge Trans. Times	Results for $\forall i \in [n]$
RECURS	$\mathcal{O}(V n(\delta_{\max})^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(V n\delta_{max})$	individual	×
Stream	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E} n\delta_{max})$	$\mathcal{O}(V n\delta_{max})$	uniform	\checkmark

• Streaming algorithm of the temporal edges in reverse chronological order of time steps

- Manages for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$ a multiset of *i*-th order H-indices of the neighbors
- When edge $(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ is processed
 - 1. Update degree $|\mathcal{N}(u, t)|$
 - 2. Append at u the (i + 1)-th order H-index of the multisets of i-th order H-indices of v
- After processing all edges, return the *i*-th order H-indices for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$



- Streaming algorithm of the temporal edges in reverse chronological order of time steps
- Manages for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$ a multiset of *i*-th order H-indices of the neighbors
- When edge $(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ is processed
 - 1. Update degree $|\mathcal{N}(u, t)|$
 - 2. Append at u the (i + 1)-th order H-index of the multisets of i-th order H-indices of v
- After processing all edges, return the *i*-th order H-indices for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$



- Streaming algorithm of the temporal edges in reverse chronological order of time steps
- Manages for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$ a multiset of *i*-th order H-indices of the neighbors
- When edge $(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ is processed
 - 1. Update degree $|\mathcal{N}(u, t)|$
 - 2. Append at u the (i + 1)-th order H-index of the multisets of *i*-th order H-indices of v
- After processing all edges, return the *i*-th order H-indices for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$



- Streaming algorithm of the temporal edges in reverse chronological order of time steps
- Manages for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$ a multiset of *i*-th order H-indices of the neighbors
- When edge $(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ is processed
 - 1. Update degree $|\mathcal{N}(u, t)|$
 - 2. Append at u the (i + 1)-th order H-index of the multisets of i-th order H-indices of v
- After processing all edges, return the *i*-th order H-indices for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$



- Streaming algorithm of the temporal edges in reverse chronological order of time steps
- Manages for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$ a multiset of *i*-th order H-indices of the neighbors
- When edge $(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ is processed
 - 1. Update degree $|\mathcal{N}(u, t)|$
 - 2. Append at u the (i + 1)-th order H-index of the multisets of *i*-th order H-indices of v

• After processing all edges, return the *i*-th order H-indices for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$



- Streaming algorithm of the temporal edges in reverse chronological order of time steps
- Manages for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$ a multiset of *i*-th order H-indices of the neighbors
- When edge $(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{E}$ is processed
 - 1. Update degree $|\mathcal{N}(u, t)|$
 - 2. Append at u the (i + 1)-th order H-index of the multisets of *i*-th order H-indices of v
- After processing all edges, return the *i*-th order H-indices for each $v \in V$ and $1 \le i \le n$



1. Running Times

Data set	Graph size		<i>n</i> = 8		n = 16		<i>n</i> = 32		<i>n</i> :	<i>n</i> = 64	
	V	$ \mathcal{E} $	Recurs	Stream	Recurs	Stream	RECURS	Stream	Recurs	Stream	
FacebookMsg	1 899	59798	2.56	0.08	5.52	0.15	11.94	0.31	26.70	0.64	
Infectious	10972	415 912									
FacebookWall	63731	817 035									
Enron	86 806	1133968									
AskUbuntu	134 035	257 305									
Digg	279 630	1731652									
Wikipedia	1870709	39 953 145									
Flickr	2 302 925	33 140 016									

Running times in seconds (s). OOT: out of time (time limit 12h).

1. Running Times

Data set	Graph size		<i>n</i> = 8		n = 16			<i>n</i> = 32		<i>n</i> = 64	
	V	$ \mathcal{E} $	Recurs	Stream	Recurs	Stream	Recurs	Stream	Recurs	Stream	
FacebookMsg	1 899	59 798	2.56	0.08	5.52	0.15	11.94	0.31	26.70	0.64	
Infectious	10972	415 912	18.81	1.02							
FacebookWall	63731	817 035	31.11	3.48							
Enron	86 806	1133968	411.59	4.89							
AskUbuntu	134 035	257 305	1.23	0.21							
Digg	279 630	1731652	62.80	3.33							
Wikipedia	1870709	39 953 145	4863.44	117.03							
Flickr	2 302 925	33 140 016	870.88	168.92							

Running times in seconds (s). OOT: out of time (time limit 12h).

1. Running Times

Data set	Graph size			<i>n</i> = 8		n = 16		<i>n</i> = 32		<i>n</i> = 64	
	V	$ \mathcal{E} $	Recurs	Stream	Recurs	Stream	Recurs	Stream	Recurs	Stream	
FacebookMsg	1 899	59 798	2.56	0.08	5.52	0.15	11.94	0.31	26.70	0.64	
Infectious	10972	415 912	18.81	1.02	39.87	1.98	76.73	4.19	144.48	8.51	
FacebookWall	63731	817 035	31.11	3.48	69.01	6.03	135.03	11.31	310.44	22.49	
Enron	86 806	1133968	411.59	4.89	866.53	11.03	1882.11	24.45	4226.25	52.33	
AskUbuntu	134 035	257 305	1.23	0.21	2.50	0.38	5.31	0.72	13.15	1.44	
Digg	279 630	1731652	62.80	3.33	120.30	6.84	229.93	13.83	364.88	27.61	
Wikipedia	1870709	39 953 145	4863.44	117.03	10332.81	230.70	21998.44	457.65	OOT	861.95	
Flickr	2 302 925	33 140 016	870.88	168.92	1767.10	332.29	3323.15	640.81	5373.19	1282.84	

Running times in seconds (s). OOT: out of time (time limit 12h).

2. Comparison of reachability scores

Let $r: V \times V \to \{0, 1\}$ the indicator function for temporal reachability, i.e., r(u, v) = 1 iff u can reach v via a temporal walk. For pseudocore $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)} = (V', \mathcal{E}')$:

• global reachability score:
$$\rho_g = \frac{\sum_{u \in V', v \in V} r(u,v)}{|V'| \cdot |V|}$$
 • local score: $\rho_\ell = \frac{\sum_{u, v \in V'} r(u,v)}{|V'|^2}$

2. Comparison of reachability scores

Let $r: V \times V \to \{0, 1\}$ the indicator function for temporal reachability, i.e., r(u, v) = 1 iff u can reach v via a temporal walk. For pseudocore $\mathcal{G}_{(n,k)} = (V', \mathcal{E}')$:

• global reachability score: $\rho_g = \frac{\sum_{u \in V', v \in V} r(u,v)}{|V'| \cdot |V|}$ • local score: $\rho_\ell = \frac{\sum_{u, v \in V'} r(u,v)}{|V'|^2}$



- 3. Use Case: Influential Spreader Identification
 - We computed for different infection probabilities β the mean node influence R_u over 1000 independent SIR simulations leading to the SIR node rankings
 - We compare the SIR rankings with those obtained by the centrality measures using the Kendall τ_b rank correlation measure

- 3. Use Case: Influential Spreader Identification
 - We computed for different infection probabilities β the mean node influence R_u over 1000 independent SIR simulations leading to the SIR node rankings
 - We compare the SIR rankings with those obtained by the centrality measures using the Kendall τ_b rank correlation measure

- 3. Use Case: Influential Spreader Identification
 - We computed for different infection probabilities β the mean node influence R_u over 1000 independent SIR simulations leading to the SIR node rankings
 - We compare the SIR rankings with those obtained by the centrality measures using the Kendall τ_b rank correlation measure



(a) Malawi

(b) FacebookMsg

(c) Email

• We introduced the *n*-th order temporal H-index

- Obtained inward and outward variants based on incoming and outgoing temporal walks
- Highly scalable streaming algorithm
- Effective pseudocore decomposition
- We showed that the *n*-th order temporal H-index can be a successful heuristic for identifying possible super-spreaders



- We introduced the *n*-th order temporal H-index
- Obtained inward and outward variants based on incoming and outgoing temporal walks
- Highly scalable streaming algorithm
- Effective pseudocore decomposition
- We showed that the *n*-th order temporal H-index can be a successful heuristic for identifying possible super-spreaders



- We introduced the *n*-th order temporal H-index
- Obtained inward and outward variants based on incoming and outgoing temporal walks
- Highly scalable streaming algorithm
- Effective pseudocore decomposition
- We showed that the *n*-th order temporal H-index can be a successful heuristic for identifying possible super-spreaders



- We introduced the *n*-th order temporal H-index
- Obtained inward and outward variants based on incoming and outgoing temporal walks
- Highly scalable streaming algorithm
- Effective pseudocore decomposition
- We showed that the *n*-th order temporal H-index can be a successful heuristic for identifying possible super-spreaders



- We introduced the *n*-th order temporal H-index
- Obtained inward and outward variants based on incoming and outgoing temporal walks
- Highly scalable streaming algorithm
- Effective pseudocore decomposition
- We showed that the *n*-th order temporal H-index can be a successful heuristic for identifying possible super-spreaders



Link to our paper